CITY OF BELLEVUE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES

Thursday March 4, 2010 6:30 p.m. Conference Room 1E-112 Bellevue City Hall Bellevue, Washington

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: Brad Helland (Vice Chair), Ticson Mach, David Mahon and Keith Swenson

COMMISSIONERS ABSENT: Steve Szablya (Chair), Jenni Carter, and Jim Roberts

OTHERS PRESENT: Mayor Davidson, Nav Otal, Anne Weigle, Wes Jorgenson, Phyllis Varner, Pam Maloney

MINUTES TAKER: Laurie Hugdahl

1. CALL TO ORDER:

The meeting was called to order by Vice Chair Helland at 6:30

2. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:

None

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Motion made by Commissioner Mach, seconded by Commissioner Swenson, to approve the agenda. Motion passed unanimously (4-0)

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

February 4, 2010 Regular Meeting Minutes

Motion made by Commissioner Mahon, seconded by Commissioner Mach, to approve the minutes as presented. Motion passed unanimously (4-0).

5. **PUBLIC MEETING**

Draft Stormwater Management Program

Vice Chair Helland called the public meeting to order at 6:32 p.m. He stated the purpose of the meeting and solicited public comments. There were no members of the public present and no comments. The public meeting was closed at 6:34 p.m.

Staff Presentation: NPDES Permit Coordinator Phyllis Varner gave a PowerPoint presentation on the draft *NPDES 2010 Stormwater Management Program*. She explained that the purpose of the public meeting was to provide an opportunity for public comment and to obtain the Commission's comments and a recommendation to send to the City Council on approving the draft program for submittal to Ecology. She explained that the NPDES Permit Program is a requirement of the *Federal Clean Water Act*. The *Clean Water Act*'s goal is to protect fishable, swimmable uses of the nation's water resources. The goal of the Act's NPDES Municipal Stormwater permit program is to reduce the discharge of stormwater pollutants from the city's storm drainage system into Washington's surface and ground waters. It does this by requiring that the City implement the *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* specified in the permit requirements. These are steps or actions to reduce stormwater pollution. In the permit these BMPs are collectively called the *Stormwater Management Program*.

Ms. Varner explained that deadlines for implementing BMPs in this program are phased over the five-year permit term. The annual submittal deadline for the NPDES reports is March 31. She stated that the City is in compliance with the permit in 2009. The 2010 citywide work plans are being implemented now to maintain compliance.

The 2010 Stormwater Management Program report was then reviewed. Sections of the report included Permit Requirements, Current Activities, and Planned Activities. The main accomplishments of 2009 included amending several city codes, revising standards and modifying processes, procedures and documentation for the Controlling Runoff and Illicit Discharge Program components (Sections 5 & 6). She noted that Commission members commented throughout the year on several of these items.

Ms. Varner informed the Commission that Council adopted escalating illicit discharge enforcement provisions as recommended by the Commission but they did change the amount from \$1,000 to \$500. The new provisions went into effect on August 16, 2009. Vice Chair Helland asked how many violations have been issued. Ms. Varner responded that so far there have just been verbal notices. She explained that a thorough report of illicit discharge and enforcement actions will be coming in the annual compliance report for 2010. Vice Chair Helland requested a brief summary in the interim of how that program is coming along as far as the number of illicit discharges and how many inspections are being done. He added for the record that he does not do anything with NPDES enforcement for the Department of Ecology.

Activities for 2009-2010 included implementing 2009-2010 citywide NPDES budget, implementing an overall strategy for code updates, developing and providing training to city staff, and developing an annual compliance report database.

Vice Chair Helland asked about four FTEs that the ESC had recommended. Ms. Varner explained that they were approved by Council, but the positions were frozen. Two of the positions were development-related and because of the downturn in development activities they were not filled. One of the others was an Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Program Senior Engineering Tech and it is being filled right now. The fourth position was a Municipal Stormwater Operations and Maintenance staff for the public storm drainage system. That position has not been filled yet and is frozen due to the economic downturn. Vice Chair Helland asked where the money for those positions went since the positions were not filled. Mr. Jorgenson explained that the money stays in the utilities account. Vice Chair Helland asked for a discussion about filling those spots unless they were going to reduce rates in response to not hiring those positions. Ms. Varner explained that two of the four were going to be paid by development permit fees. Mr. Jorgenson explained that many positions have been frozen throughout the city. He stated that the City Manager is trying to preserve areas where they might be able to move people into rather than lay them off. He was fairly certain that this would all be settled as part of the budget process.

Ms. Varner reviewed public education and outreach activities. During 2009 they continued existing programs and helped implement the Puget Sound Starts Here regional campaign. In 2010 staff will be measuring understanding and improvements in behavior in response to some of the City's outreach and education programs. The ESC, City Council, and reports at other public meeting along with the budget process have provided opportunity for public comments on the *NPDES Stormwater Management Program*.

Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination program accomplishments included:

- Amended city codes, revised standards and databases
- Developed citywide escalating enforcement process
- Developed Stormwater pollution communications plan
- Conducted citywide staff illicit discharge training
- Implemented modified IDDE program citywide
- Planned Activities initiate storm outfall illicit discharge assessment program
- Implement Stormwater Pollution Communications Plan

Ms. Varner distributed a brochure which was developed for residential residents as part of the Stormwater Pollution Communications Plan. These will be sent out to every home in the city. She also distributed a brochure for commercial owners whose practices are subject to the more punitive fines. Vice Chair Helland asked if there was a number that one could call if they see something. It was noted that there are phone numbers on the brochures that people can call to report incidents or ask questions.

Current Actions taken to control runoff from development:

- Adopted the 2005 Ecology Stormwater manual citywide
- Amended city codes, revised standards and databases
- Modified permit submittal information
- Modified development services processes and procedures
- Provided training for staff on new stormwater standards
- Implemented new development regulations January 1, 2010
- Revised post-construction storm maintenance standards and program

Planned Actions to control runoff development:

- Adaptively manage controlling runoff programs as needed
- Develop low impact development (LID) information and report

Vice Chair Helland asked if there are currently any LID incentives. Mr. Jorgenson stated that there are some rate reductions available for implementation of certain LIDs. He wasn't aware of any other incentives like increased density since they do not have any direct experience with LIDs yet. The plan is to monitor how readily developers will utilize LIDs before they go to the point of requiring certain elements and/or looking at incentives. He felt that after 2010-11 they would have enough information to make this assessment. Ms. Varner explained that the Department of Ecology has requested that municipalities provide a report on the challenges and impediments to implementing LIDs. They need to see if there are enough benefits to the developers to get LIDs off the ground. They have acknowledged that there are a lot of challenges and they want to know what those are.

Commissioner Swenson commented that it sounds like the City is looking to the developers to decide what will and will not work. It was his opinion that left to their own devices developers would not do LIDs unless pushed. He suggested that there needs to be more aggressive action on the part of the City.

Pam Maloney explained that on-site BMPs for the control of stormwater are now required. Following the code adoption, staff reviewed all of our engineering standards for development on-site and came up with Low Impact Development techniques they felt would work really well in Bellevue. Those were written into the standards. Staff is continuing to work on developing checklists and how much credit developers can get against detention. They are trying to make it as easy as possible for developers to try things, but staff does not have much experience with this yet because the codes just became effective in January and this has been very slow economic development time. Vice Chair Helland asked when they would have some data regarding development. Ms. Maloney commented that they are setting up processes to track when they are built, where they are built and how they work over time. A benefit of the slowdown of development is that it has given staff some extra time to prepare for development.

Commissioner Swenson commented that LID development makes sense from a practical environmental standpoint as well as a financial standpoint. Mr. Jorgenson added that given the current economic climate staff did not feel it was appropriate to add in additional development requirements. Commissioner Swenson noted that more regulation may not be the way to get to it; sometimes doing away with a lot of regulations opens the door to doing things more effectively and efficiently.

Pollution prevention and municipal operations O&M current activities include the following:

- Developing site-specific stormwater pollution prevention plans
- Revising storm maintenance standards
- Modifying citywide information management system
- Revising and developing new municipal operations SOPs
- Modifying public storm drainage system O&M program

Pollution prevention and municipal operations O&M planned activities are to complete and implement above current activities and to develop a budget and schedule for SWPPP structural BMPs.

Commissioner Swenson asked if going over the 100,000 population mark with the new census would put the city into a different compliance level. Ms. Varner explained that the Phase 1 and Phase 2 Municipal Stormwater Permits are based on population at the 1990 census. Anybody over 100,000 in 1990 is a Phase 1. Anybody under 100,000 in 1990 is a Phase 2. On the other hand, Ecology is moving towards making Phase 2 permit requirements equivalent to a Phase 1. She noted that the next permit Ecology will issue will be in 2012. If they were to require Phase 2's to implement the current Phase 1 monitoring requirements, it would be an \$800,000 to \$1 million annual cost. Staff is actively participating in a regional stormwater work group to develop an alternative monitoring proposal for next permit.

Planned activities for water quality monitoring are to continue participation in a regional stormwater work group; identify three storm outfalls for monitoring; identify two program effectiveness monitoring questions and sites; and to prepare monitoring plans and information.

Vice Chair Helland asked if there has been any consideration of toxic loading. Ms. Varner replied that the regional group thought that was more of a state role than a municipal role in terms of monitoring. Vice Chair Helland asked how many municipal stormwater outfalls there are in the city. Ms. Varner said there are over 200. There are also private outfalls. The City also has 20,000 catch-basins which are the inlets, along with sheet flow, for pollutants that come into the municipal storm drainage system.

Ms. Varner concluded the presentation and solicited commissioners' comments and a recommendation to the City Council for approval of the 2010 Stormwater Management Program.

Motion made by Commissioner Swenson, seconded by Commissioner Mahon, to forward the draft 2010 Stormwater Management Plan to Council with a unanimous recommendation for approval.

Discussion:

Vice Chair Helland said he would like to discuss some of the elements later, such as the following:

- Low Impact Development
- Regional Stormwater Work Group.
- Puget Sound Initiative/Partnership More about the focus on toxics and emerging contaminants. What, if anything, can our programs do to look at loading and to integrate those elements into our pollution prevention programs.

Ms. Varner stated that a report from the Regional Stormwater Work Group is expected to come out in July.

Commissioner Swenson concurred with Vice Chair Helland's recommendations.

Motion carried 4-0.

Mayor Davidson commented that in economic downturns the revenue from projected rates does not come in. Even on the utility side there is less revenue collected because there is a growth component that is projected in the rate. He explained that there is a general policy within the City of trying not to fill open spots right now. Vice Chair Helland thought that utility revenues would probably be more stable than other budgets. Mayor Davidson agreed, but noted that they are still elastic. Mr. Jorgenson commented that this would be reflected in a year-end budget summary.

6. FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS/ANSWERS 2008 PERFORMANCE MEASURES SURVEY

Ann Weigle responded to commissioner questions about the Performance Measures Survey. The Commission had asked what sorts of outreach the City does to get input from citizens and through surveys. She reviewed some information she had extracted that had to do specifically with utilities from the City's Performance Measures Survey. She also included the Annual Scorecard of Performance Measures. Vice Chair Helland asked if the respondents were selected randomly. Ms. Weigle said that 403 residents were randomly selected to

participate by telephone. Vice Chair Helland asked if the report was available online. Ms. Weigle stated that the entire report is available online at:

http://www.bellevuewa.gov/pdf/Finance/2008_Performance_Measures_Survey.pdf

Mr. Jorgenson then responded to a question asked by Vice Chair Helland about macroinvertebrate date. He explained that the City's work is consistent with other agency protocols. He provided the link to the Puget Sound benthic macroinvertebrate database which is: http://www.pugetsoundstreambenthos.org/

Mr. Jorgenson responded to Vice Chair Helland's questions from the last meeting about when the Shoreline Master Plan would be coming back to the ESC. Mr. Jorgenson stated that Michael Paine, the project manager, noted that the Planning Commission has jurisdiction over Master Plan Update. They presented a courtesy presentation regarding the Master Plan to the ESC last year and will provide an update when they have a sense that the Planning Commission is supposed to make any recommendation to Council. The lake line issue won't be part of the Shoreline Master Plan per se; it will be more of project-specific issues as we develop our plan. Mr. Jorgenson explained that the sewer pipe is not an issue related to the Shoreline Master Plan, but when it is relocated they will have to comply with the requirements of the Shoreline Master Plan. Vice Chair Helland suggested that there should be some involvement with the ESC regarding this. Mayor Davidson added that they do not like to have "dueling" commissions. When an issue relates to land use it will be in the Master Plan. Shoreline properties are also regulated through the Master Plan. Vice Chair Helland thought it might be useful to have a method for other commissions to have input. Ms. Maloney added that Utilities staff does review proposed technical changes to the Shoreline Master Plan.

Commissioner Swenson remarked that it seems that the LID (Low Impact Development) would be a land use and would be largely driven by the Planning Department. Mr. Jorgenson stated that the LID is a stormwater tool to manage and mitigate stormwater runoff so is not a land use issue. Mayor Davidson commented that if they mandated LIDs then the Planning Commission would probably be involved, since that would be a land use regulation.

Vice Chair Helland asked how the ESC would hear about or have any input on LID impacts to the development code or some other piece of code that isn't in Utilities. Mr. Jorgenson suggested that it would be the same way the Shoreline Master Plan came to the Commission. Michael Paine had noted that as the Planning Commission gets closer to making a recommendation he's bringing that to this Commission to advise them of where the Planning Commission stands. This would allow the ESC to provide comment to both Council and the Planning Commission. Michael is envisioning bringing the SMP back to the ESC, but only after the Planning Commission has a better sense of what they're doing. He thought that LIDs would be handled similarly.

7. REPORTS & SUMMARIES

a. ESC Calendar/Council Calendar

Mr. Jorgenson reviewed the revised ESC Calendar. It reflects the latest information available related to the budget process elements and how they will be coming to the Commission. Highlights included the following:

- The April 29 Special Meeting has been eliminated. Staff thinks that the revised budget process allows ample time to bring the ESC issues without having a special meeting.
- Ms. Weigle explained that the ESC's recommendation will be going directly to Council as opposed to going to staff. This is why they will be coming in June as opposed to April and May.
- Mayor Davidson discussed the unique position of the ESC as the "utility rate hearing board".
- Mr. Jorgenson pointed out that this calendar shows a meeting in August instead of a recess.
- Mr. Jorgenson asked the Commission to consider having a special meeting for a CIP tour in the July/August timeframe. Commissioner Mach suggested June 17. Commissioner Mahon noted that he would be gone on June 17, but added that he would be willing to forego the CIP tour.

b. Desk Packet Material

• Conservation & Outreach Events & Volunteer Opportunities – This was provided as an FYI.

c. Utility CIP Update 2011-2017

Pam Maloney, Water Resources Planning Manager, discussed the CIP Update. She explained that this is part of the update process that happens every two years. She emphasized that this is one of the most important roles of the ESC. This represents an investment of over \$40 million for the seven-year CIP window.

Functions of the CIP are to: address repairs and replacement of aging infrastructure; build utilities to accommodate anticipated growth; stay in compliance with regulations and legal mandates; and protect or enhance our environment consistent with the Utilities mission. The ESC represents the ratepayers in this process. The Utilities CIP comprises 13% of the total Utilities budget. Staff is recommending some changes, and some new projects in order to add infrastructure for anticipated growth. Some of the inflation will be able to be pulled out of the seven-year CIP. This will offset, some of, the recommended increases. She is expecting to review this over three meetings – tonight, March 18, and April 1, with expected endorsement of proposed changes on April 1. Ms. Maloney then proceeded to review the items in the notebook.

<u>Project Prioritization Criteria</u> – Staff is not recommending any substantive changes. What gets funded by the Utilities CIP has to meet the objectives at the top of the page. The ranking criteria will not be used this year because they only are used when there are multiple discretionary projects and limited resources. There are no discretionary projects this time.

Commissioner Mach asked if they really need to have the legal consideration in the prioritization guidelines. Ms. Maloney explained that sometimes a project is recommended because there is legal liability to the City if we don't do it, so it is appropriate to consider that when looking at a project's merit. We might not be required to do something, but it might open us to liability if we don't.

Vice Chair Helland pointed out that there is an extra criterion in the list for *Storm and Surface Water*. Ms. Maloney explained that this is the reason that *Health and Safety* is not weighted double on Storms because they look at *Flooding*, which is in fact a health and safety issue.

Adopted CIP - Ms. Maloney proceeded to review each of the projects. Most of the utilities consist of ongoing programs. There is much more flexibility to meet the needs of the system this way. Vice Chair Helland asked that Ms. Maloney point out any projects that were accelerated.

<u>W-16 Small Diameter Water Main Replacement</u> - The program is on a 10-year ramp up cycle to replace up to five miles a year (as opposed to 1.5 miles per year in 2008). Staff will continue to monitor this and see if this replacement rate is sufficient, and if the budget is appropriate to achieve this objective.

Commissioner Swenson asked if the Nisqually earthquake had much of an impact on pipes. Ms. Maloney indicated that it did not because flexibility is built into the joints. Mr. Jorgenson commented that Olympic Pipeline had a problem just east of the golf course. The City, however, had hardly any failures with water and sewer.

Commissioner Mach asked if actual amounts spent would be added to these pages later. Ms. Maloney explained that this is a budget, and does not/will not reflect actual expenditures. Mr. Jorgenson added that the drivers are not necessarily dollars, but other things related to our goals such as miles of pipe replaced. Vice Chair Helland commented that it would be interesting to see what is actually spent. Ms. Maloney said that there would be a year-end budget report to the Commission which would indicate overall CIP expenditures. Mr. Jorgenson commented that the Utility is spending 2011 budget in 2009 in order to replace systems as they fail. As the budget grows to allow replacement of 5 miles a year, there will be a lot more flexibility to

responding to failures without the same budgetary implications we currently have. Ms. Maloney explained that staff does provide a quarterly report to the Council CIP expenditures project status progress.

Commissioner Mach thought they might need to see actual expenditures in order to make a recommendation for the proposed changes. Ms. Maloney said that it was not necessary because staff is implementing the program as it is proposed even though they may be ahead or behind in certain areas. She recommended focusing just on the programs right now without focusing on the dollar amounts.

Mayor Davidson added that the 7 year CIP is revisited every two years; therefore it is basically authorizing two years.

<u>W-67 Pressure Reducing Valve (PRV) Rehabilitation</u> – The funding is designed to replace 6 to 7 PRV's per year until the oldest ones are replaced. Once this is completed the budget can be reduced a bit and be at a sustainable level of replacing 5 to 6 per year. Some of the oldest PRVs that we are replacing now require replacement of the vault as well as the PRV, which is more expensive.

Vice Chair Helland said that at some point it would be interesting to see how they are progressing with this. Ms. Maloney stated that we have been doing an average of 6.5 per year.

<u>W-68 Water Service Extension</u> – This allows for utility construction of new water mains to facilitate development or redevelopment into areas where there are failing well systems or where individual homeowners can't afford to bring water main to their property. Most investment is recovered through connection charges. This program allows the City to construct 900 feet of pipe per year. Sometimes there are not requests for a few years and in that case the money can be reappropriated for other priority investments.

Vice Chair Helland asked if city contractors or developer contractors do these extensions. Ms. Maloney replied that city contractors do them. Mr. Jorgenson added that in many cases this is done for people who are not developers. If someone comes in and wants this, the City canvasses the area to get a sense of the overall interest in the project. The City will not do the project unless they get at least 50% of the adjacent property owners willing to connect within a three-year period.

Commissioner Mach suggested that they not go page by page through the CIP. Ms. Maloney stated that she wanted to make sure there was a solid understanding of the programs so that Commission would be comfortable with endorsing the CIP for the budget. There was consensus to just review projects with changes.

W-69 Minor Water Capital Improvement Projects - No changes

<u>W-82 Fire Hydrant Improvements</u> – No substantive changes, but they are going to recognize that the funding source is now fire protection revenues rather than of utility rates.

<u>W-85 Structural/Seismic Reservoir Rehabilitation</u> - No changes, but staff is doing an analysis to see if the level of funding is appropriate. By the next budget cycle they might need to increase this program, budget.

<u>W-91 Water Pump Station Rehabilitation</u> - No recommended changes, but funding may be able to be reduced after ten years, to reflect less costly station rehabilitation needs.

<u>W-92 Reservoir Water Quality Upgrades</u> - This project is done and there will be some savings available for other things.

W-98 Replacement of Large Commercial Water Meters – No changes.

Vice Chair Helland asked if the cost of water meters is built into the rates. Mr. Jorgenson indicated that it is.

Commissioner Mach asked about overcharges as a result of old meters. Staff explained that older meters generally only undercharge as they age. Commissioner Mach asked if Bellevue is considering moving to automatic meters. Mr. Jorgenson said they are not as they have not found it to be cost-effective. They are looking into a pilot study for the downtown area.

W-99 Water Service Line and Saddle Replacement Program – This is a relatively new program, but we think that it is adequately funded. Mr. Jorgenson explained that the water service line goes from the main to the meter. After that it is private ownership. When a saddle is replaced, the intent is to make sure that the saddle and the service line will both have last as long as the water main in still in place in the street.

W-101 Relocate Water WSDOT I-405/SR 520 Braids - No changes

W-102 Relocate Water for WSDOT 520 Expansion – No changes

<u>W-103 Increase Reservoir Storage for Downtown</u> – The City will need to build more storage than planned due to higher density land uses approved by Council; however we think we have sufficient budget to build the additional storage because of the current economic climate.

<u>W-104 Increased Water Supply for West Bellevue</u> - Mr. Jorgenson stated that this expansion is needed for reliability of the water system.

Vice Chair Helland asked why this doesn't start until 2015 when the economic climate is favorable right now. Ms. Maloney pointed out that it is expected to cost \$5 million and the Utility smoothes rates by collecting over several years for such large projects. Mr. Jorgenson added that they delay gives Cascade more time to develop their plan so we can align with that. Mayor Davidson commented that as a City, Bellevue is adverse to unnecessary debt and tries to "pay as they go".

- <u>S-16 Sewage Pump Station Improvements</u> No recommended changes, but staff is looking closely at the upcoming three projects. By the next budget cycle we may be asking for an increase.
- <u>S-24 Sewer System Pipeline Rehabilitation</u> The number of defects staff is finding are continuing to outpace the ability to pay to fix them. Staff would like a year or two to see if we can catch up. If not, we will need to increase the funding in the outer years. Ms. Maloney distributed a graph showing a significant backlog of projects they are not getting to each year. Staff is trying to find more cost effective ways of dealing with them. They do not feel they have enough data yet to make a recommendation to bump up the budget immediately, but are recommending an increase in the outer years.
- S-30 Sewer Service Extension No changes
- S-32 Minor Capital Improvement Projects No changes
- <u>S-52 East CBD Sewer Trunkline Improvements</u> Staff is recommending that we delay this for two years because we do not know where Sound Transit is going to go. There is some risk by delaying because the line is near capacity, especially during a storm event.
- <u>S-53 Bellefield Pump Station Capacity Improvement</u> This project is also on hold until they find out how Sound Transit will affect it. No changes are recommended now..
- <u>S-54 West CBD Trunk Capacity Improvement</u> This project will increase the trunk capacity that serves the west part of the downtown area. Staff is proposing acceleration of the project to coordinate with a King County Metro project in 102nd, which will save both agencies money and minimize neighborhood disruption..
- S-55 Relocate Sewer WSDOT I-405/SR 520 Braids No changes
- S-56 Relocate Sewer WSDOT 520 Expansion No changes

<u>S-57 Upgrade Wastewater Telemetry System</u> – This is expected to be completed this year on time and on budget.

<u>S-58 Sewer Lake Line Replacement Program</u> - No recommended changes. Staff is working with Meydenbauer Park for coordination for the first replacement of 1100 feet of pipe.

Vice Chair Helland asked about the cost for this. Ms. Maloney stated that it is \$3 million within the CIP window, but it's ongoing indefinitely at the lower rate for condition assessment work on the lake line. Vice Chair Helland asked how much assessment has happened since they talked about this a year ago. Mr. Jorgenson stated that they are doing a pre-design for the Meydenbauer area with the consultant who is looking at both this segment as well as options to abandon the remaining part of this line. They brought in options for gravity onshore, identified a vacuum system as a way of moving it, as well as onsite individual pumps for each of the homeowners. The rest of the lake line system will be assessed following the Meydenbauer area project.

<u>S-59 Add On-Site Power at Sewer Pump Stations</u> – This is a new program which doesn't start until 2014. No changes.

<u>S-60 Wilburton Sewer Capacity Upgrade</u> – Staff is recommending acceleration of this to coordinate with redevelopment that is going on in the Wilburton corridor. Staff is analyzing alternatives now and will know more by the next meeting.

Review of storm projects was deferred until March 18, 2010 meeting.

- 8. NEW BUSINESS
- 9. DIRECTOR'S OFFICE REPORT
- 10. CONTINUED ORAL COMMUNICATIONS
- 11. EXECUTIVE SESSION
- 12. ADJOURNMENT

Motion made by Commissioner Mahon, seconded by Commissioner Swenson, to adjourn the meeting at 8:59 p.m. Motion passed unanimously (4-0).